Cette présentation a été effectuée le 10 mars 2010, au cours de la journée « Décision éclairée et dépistage. Pour y voir clair! » dans le cadre des Journées annuelles de santé publique (JASP) 2010. L'ensemble des présentations est disponible sur le site Web des JASP, à l'adresse http://www.inspq.qc.ca/archives/.

International experience: Lessons to be learned in regards to informed decisions

Berta Geller Ed.D. University of Vermont, USA Montreal, Quebec

In memory of Joan Austoker

ICSN Communications Work Group

- Ø Berta Geller, Chair (USA)
- Rachel Ballard-Barbash Co-Chair (USA)
- Ashley Bloomfield (NZ)
- Greg Doyle (Canada)
- Livia Giordano (Italy)
- Solveig Hofvind (Norway)
- Andriana Koukari (Australia)
- Ø Noriaki Ohuchi (Japan)

- Gonzalo Pou (Uruguay)
- Gad Rennert (Israel)
- Helene Sancho-Garnier (France)
- Astrid Scharpantgen (Luxembourg)
- Nereo Segnan (European Breast Cancer Screening Network)
- Jane Zapka (USA)

Objectives for today's presentation are to review:

Why the working group was formed (2002)
Products of the working group
What we have learned

Why the working group was formed

Early information campaigns for screening programs focused on encouraging people to participate.

There was and still is an increased interest in <u>informed</u> choice.

The scientific and media debate about the <u>harms and benefits of screening</u> stimulated a shift in educational efforts.

Why the working group was formed

This led to a shift in mammography program efforts from simply promoting screening to developing messages and decisions aids that more fully inform women of the benefits and harms of mammography screening.

Why the working group was formed

- The third edition (2001) of the European guidelines included a brief chapter on communication.
- This left many unanswered questions.



Goals of the working group

- To summarize existing information and decision tools used by individual countries
- To identify and share best practices

Products of the working group

- Geller BM, Zapka J, Hofvind SS, et al. Communicating with women about mammography. J Cancer Educ 2007;22(1):25-31.
- Zapka JG, Geller BM, Bulliard JL, et al. Print information to inform decisions about mammography screening participation in 16 countries with population-based programs. *Patient Educ Couns* 2006 Oct;63(1-2):126-37

Products of the working group

- Designing Print Materials: A Communications Guide for Breast Cancer Screening (2007)
- http://appliedresearch.
 cancer.gov/icsn/publications
 /guide.html



10

Communicating with women about mammography

- Reviewed evidence from the literature of currently recommended practices.
- Surveyed member countries about:
 - Types of communication tools used
 - Content areas included
- @ 17/23 countries participated (74%)

Communicating with women about mammography

@ Results

- Wide range of communication tools
 - 12 countries had web sites
 - Most used newspaper, TV/radio, posters
- Pamphlet and invitation letter common to all
 Mailed together in 10 countries
- 7 countries have materials in other languages

11

12

UK has audiotapes for the blind and special materials for women with learning disabilities

Communicating with women about mammography

@ Results (content areas)

- 90% of pamphlets had the following content areas:
 - Description of mammography
 - Recommended intervals
 - Early detection can save lives

Communicating with women about mammography

@ Results (content areas)

- Majority of countries also included:
 - Age to start and stop
 - Breast cancer incidence
 - Lifetime risk of breast cancer
 - Earlier detection can reduce treatment
 - False positives
 - Radiation risk
 - Proportion of screened women recalled

13

14

Communicating with women about mammography

Conclusions

- Because misperceptions of the purpose and accuracy of mammography is widespread we need to provide more and balanced information.
- Most countries only included part of what is needed to make an informed decision.

Print information to inform decisions about mammography screening participation in 16 countries with population-based programs

- @ Methods: Literature review of content domains
- @ Medline search (1966-2004); keywords
 - Mammography, informed decision making, risk communication

Print information to inform decisions...

Methods: Content analysis of materials are reported by the following information domains:

- General program and mammography information
- Cancer risk information
- Test characteristics
- Benefits of mammography
- Risks of mammography

Print information to inform decisions...

@ Methods

- We reviewed 27 brochures and 9 invitation letters (all translated into English) from 16 countries.
- Audited whether explicit terminology of "decision making" and "pros and cons" were used.
- Documented visuals (drawing, photos) by age, faces, racial diversity.
- Noted font size and rated readability/clutter.

17

18

Print information to inform decisions...

Results

- All materials had some identification data.
- The most common elements were about the general program and mammography information.

Print information to inform decisions...

The most common elements for cancer risk were:

- Incidence (12/16)
- Risk (10/16)
- The most common elements for test characteristics were:
 - False positive (15/16)
 - False negative (13/16)
 - Recall (12/16)

Print information to inform decisions...

	Benefits of screening					Risks of screening			
	Early Dx	Early Tx	Breast conservation	Find early tumors	Peace of mind	Additional test complications	Radiation	Pain/ discomfort	Find unimportan tumors
Australia	Х		Х	Х			Х	Х	Х
Canada	Х		Х	Х	Х		Х		
Denmark	Х		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Finland	Х	Х		Х			Х	Х	
France					Х			Х	
Iceland	Х			Х			Х	Х	
Israel	Х			Х			Х		
Italy	Х	Х	Х	Х			Х	Х	Х
(Piedmont)									
Japan	Х		Х	Х			Х	Х	
Luxembourg	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х	Х	
Netherlands	Х		Х	Х			Х	Х	Х
New Zealand	Х		Х	Х			Х	Х	Х
Norway	Х		Х	Х			Х	Х	
Spain	Х								
Switzerland	Х		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	
United Kingdom	Х		Х	Х			Х	Х	
Total	15	3	11	14	5	2	14	13	5
									20

Print information to inform decisions...

- Provides many quotes from the materials.
- Programs that started earlier included more information about breast cancer.
- Materials favored persuasion rather than balance.
- Programs are challenged by the goal of increasing participation while maintaining individual autonomy and choice.

Designing Print Materials: A Communications Guide for Breast Cancer Screening

- A small writing group reviewed the literature and wrote this manual published by NCI in 2007.
- Although written for print material it can be adapted for other media.
- It is available for free in print or downloadable in black and white or color from the ICSN web site.

22

Designing Print Materials

Chapters

- Introduction
- Make a plan
- Assess the needs of your audience
- Develop and test messages and materials
- Maintain your materials
- Epilogue: Looking towards the future
- @ Lots of examples from all over the world

23

24

Worksheets in appendices

Introduction

- Purpose and organization of guide,
- Informed Decision Making (IDM) and development of education material
- Ethical considerations





2. Assess the needs of your audience

KEY STEPS IN ASSESSING THE NEEDS OF YOUR AUDIENCE

- Identify your overall audience for your brochures and letters
- Recognize the distinguishing characteristics of your audience
- Recognize the relevance of health behavior theory in understanding audiences
- Make preliminary decisions about segmenting your audience
- Learn more about your audiences
- Refine and regroup your audience segments
- Revisit your communications objectives
- Draft a creative brief

3. Develop and test messages and materials

KEY STEPS IN DEVELOPING AND TESTING MESSAGES AND MATERIALS

- Design your messages
- Create a rough draft of your messages
- Refine your messages
- · Make preliminary decisions about approach, layout, and visuals
- Pretest your messages and preliminary formats
- Develop your content and visuals
- Pretest your final materials
- Analyze your results and revise your materials
- Make printing and distribution decisions

Make your written materials easy to read and understand

- Write with your audience in mind.
- Make your headers work hard.
- Keep your paragraphs short.
- © Consider using a Q & A format.
- Emphasize important points without distracting from readability.
- @ Write one concept at a time.
- Incorporate IDM concepts

Make your written materials easy to read and understand

29

- Frame the information in culturally appropriate ways.
- @ Define the terms used.
- Use numbers to explain risks and benefits.
 - Use visual aids
 - Present probabilities as natural frequencies using a constant denominator
 - Use as small a denominator as possible



Epilogue: Looking towards the future

Applying this knowledge to other cancer screening and other types of health communication

What we have learned

IDM is not an easy task

- Changing perspectives about the role of IDM in people's decisions
- Balancing public good and individual patient autonomy
- Cancer and screening tests are complicated:
 Different risks of cancer depend on multiple factors
 - Different effectiveness of tests due to factors related to the participant, the test operator and the equipment
 - Evolution of evidence about tests



- Cancer screening communications
 - We need to clearly <u>communicate</u> our decisions to the public.
 - Communication is interactive.
 - We are obligated to communicate so that we are <u>understood</u>.
 - The information provided needs to be <u>useful</u> to the person receiving it.

Provide fair and balanced information to lead the way!

